

BROWN RAYSMAN

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
NOV 22 2004

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

From:	Brooke W. Quist	Date:	November 22, 2004
Direct Dial:	(310) 712-8319	Client/Matter #:	10407/521

PLEASE DELIVER AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO:

	Recipient	Company	Fax No.	Phone No.
1.	Yveste Gilberte Cherubin	USPTO, Group Art Unit 3713	703-872-9306	

Total number of pages including this page: 6.
If you do not receive all the pages, please call (310) 712-8300.

Message:

Please see the attached correspondence.

Please Note: the information contained in this facsimile message is privileged and confidential, and is intended only for use of the individual named above and others who have been specifically authorized to receive it. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, or if any problems occur with transmission, please notify sender or the mail room by telephone: (310) 712-8300. Thank You.

BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER & STEINER LLP

1880 CENTURY PARK EAST SUITE 711 LOS ANGELES CA 90067 T 310 712-8300 F 310 712-8383

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 22 2004

PATENT
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10407/521

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: James Morrow et al.
Serial No.: 09/967,283 Examiner: Yveste Gilberte Cherubin
Filed: September 28, 2001 Group Art Unit: 3713
Title: RECONFIGURABLE GAMING MACHINE

Commissioner for Patents
P. O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PROPOSED DISCUSSION TOPICS WITH RESPECT TO OFFICE ACTION

Sir:

This is an attachment to form 413A – Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form.

CURRENT STATUS

Claims 1-20, 30-46, 48-50, and 57-59 are pending in the present application. In the detailed action, claims 8 and 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as requiring clarification. Claims 1-7, 30-45, 48-50, and 57-59 were rejected in the Office Action summary, but were not addressed in the detailed action. Applicants are unclear as to why claims 1-7, 30-45, 48-50, and 57-59 have been rejected. Applicants respectfully contend that the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the prior art.

BRMPSLA 48732v1

DISCUSSION TOPICS

1. Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph - Claims 8 and 46

Claims 8 and 46 are pending in the present application and were rejected in the Office Action dated November 04, 2004 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as requiring clarification. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Claims 8 and 46 are independent claims.

The Examiner states that the specification provides enablement for the terms "stand-alone" and "remote," but that the Examiner is unclear how a "stand-alone" device can be remotely reconfigured. The Examiner further states that she believes that a "stand-alone" device, by definition, does not require support from another device or system, such as a network. As such, the Examiner has requested clarification.

Claim 8 recites, "A stand-alone gaming machine, comprising: a plurality of screens that display video content for a game of chance located on the stand-alone gaming machine, wherein all of the video content for a different game of chance is remotely reconfigurable." The Examiner is correct insofar as the invention of independent claims 8 and 46 does not require support from another device or system in order to function, i.e., all of the video content for a plurality of games is stored locally on a stand-alone gaming machine. However, Applicants submit that this recitation does not prohibit any interaction whatsoever between the gaming machine and any non-local (i.e., remote) component. Accordingly, in the invention of claims 8 and 46, the reconfiguration of the stand-alone gaming machine is remotely triggered. Applicants further submit that this type of interaction is supported by the specification and is soundly within the clear meaning of the terms "stand-alone" and "remote." If the Examiner disagrees with this position, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner indicate what part of the specification is not in accord with this clarification.

Atty Docket No.: 10407/521
Serial No. 09/967,283

2. Claim Rejections – Claims 1-7, 30-45, 48-50, and 57-59

Claims 1-7, 30-45, 48-50, and 57-59 are pending in the present application dated November 04, 2004, and were rejected in the Office Action summary, but were not addressed in the detailed action. Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection. Applicants can only assume that this rejection is somehow related to the rejection of claims 8 and 46 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. If the Applicants assumption is correct, then the Applicants submit that this rejection has been overcome by the clarification provided above. If the Applicants assumption is not correct, then the Applicants require further explanation to adequately respond to this rejection.

Atty Docket No.: 10407/521
Serial No. 09/967,283

CONCLUSION

Applicants have made an earnest and bona fide effort to clarify the issues before the Examiner and to place this case in condition for allowance. In view of the foregoing discussions, it is clear that the differences between the claimed invention and the prior art are such that the claimed invention is patentably distinct over the prior art. Therefore, reconsideration and allowance of all of Applicants' claims 1-20, 30-46, 48-50, and 57-59 is believed to be in order, and an early Notice of Allowance to this effect is respectfully requested. If the Examiner should have any questions concerning the foregoing, the Examiner is invited to telephone the undersigned attorney at (310) 712-8319. The undersigned attorney can normally be reached Monday through Friday from about 9:30 AM to 6:30 PM Pacific Time.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 11/22/04

Brooke W. Quist
BROOKE W. QUIST
Reg. No. 45,030
BROWN RAYSMAN MILLSTEIN FELDER
& STEINER LLP
1880 Century Park East, Suite 711
Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 712-8300

BWQ:elm

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 09/967,283 First Named Applicant: James Morrow
Examiner: Yveste Cherubin Art Unit: 3713 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Brooke W. Quist (2) Yveste Gilberte Cherubin

(3) _____ (4) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: 11/23/04 Proposed Time: TBD (AM ☐/PM ☒)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☒ Telephonic (2) ☐ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ YES ☐ NO

If yes, provide brief description: Proposed Discussion Topics with Respect to Office Action (attached hereto)

Issues To Be Discussed

Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) <u>Sec. 112</u>	<u>8 and 46</u>	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) _____	_____	_____	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

See Attached

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE: This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of the substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

Brooke W. Quist

Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature

Examiner/SPE Signature

Brooke W. Quist

Typed/Printed Name of Applicant or Representative

45,030

Registration Number, if applicable

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.